#### DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

#### Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund

### Defense Reutilization & Marketing Service Activity Group Fiscal Year (FY) 2003 Budget Estimates

### Activity Group Capital Investment Summary (Dollars in Millions)

Line		FY 2001		FY	2002	FY	2003
Number	Item Description	Quantity	Total Cost	Quantity	Total Cost	Quantity	Total Cost
REP 000 PRD 000 NEW 000	EQUIPMENT (Non ADP/T) \$0.1 to \$0.499 Replacement Productivity New Mission	4 4	0.4 0.4	8 8	3.2 3.2		0.8 0.8
REP 100 PRD 100 NEW 100	EQUIPMENT (Non ADP/T) \$0.5 to \$0.999 Replacement Productivity New Mission						
REP 200 PRD 200 NEW 200	EQUIPMENT (Non ADP/T) \$1.0 and Over Replacement Productivity New Mission						
	TOTAL EQUIPMENT (Non ADP/T)	4	0.4	8	3.2	3	0.8
	ADP/T EQUIPMENT \$0.1 To \$0.499 ADP/T EQUIPMENT \$0.5 To \$0.999 ADP/T EQUIPMENT \$1.0 and Over						
	TOTAL EQUIPMENT (ADP/T)						
SWD 000 SWD 100 SWD 200	SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT \$0.1 To \$0.499 SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT \$0.5 To \$0.999 SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT \$1.0 and Over				3.6		1.3
	TOTAL SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT				3.6		1.3
RPM 000	MINOR CONSTRUCTION		5.9		6.0		6.0
	TOTAL AGENCY CAPITAL INVESTMENTS	4	6.4	8	12.8	3	8.1

Activity Croup Capital Investment Justification										A. Budget Submission Fiscal Year (FY) 2003 Budget Estimates		
3. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency Reutilization & Marketing Service Activity Group February 2002  C. Line Number & Item Description REP 000 Replacement Equipment \$0.1 to \$0.499								D. Activity Identification				
					FY 2001 FY 2002					FY 2003		
Element of Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Total REP 000				4	107.5	430	8	396.2	3,170	3	271.7	815

#### Narrative Justification:

These investments, tool carriers and a scrap handler, replace existing items that have reached or exceeded the useful life established for these categories. Based on guidance contained in various Department of Defense (DoD) governing polices, the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) has established replacement and life expectancy standards for all categories of investment equipment. The standards are based on life expectancy with consideration given to condition, usage hours, and/or repair costs. DLA establishes age, utilization and repair standards based on industry information and experience in the absence of DoD acquisition and replacement criteria relative to unusual categories of equipment.

FY 2003 projects include: one tool carrier (\$115,000) at Hood and two scrap handlers (\$350,000 each) at Lewis and Texarkana.

The Return on Investment (ROI) on these projects ranges from 3.38 to 8.57 and the discounted payback ranges from 0.90 to 2.65.

Activity Croup Conital Investment Justification										A. Budget Submission Fiscal Year (FY) 2003 Budget Estimates		
B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency Reutilization & Marketing Service Activity Group February 2002  C. Line Number & Item Description SWD 000 Software Development								D. Activity Identification				
				FY 2001 FY 2002				FY 2003				
Element of Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Software Development									3,612			1,330

#### Narrative Justification:

System changes are required in FY 2003 for the DRMS Automated Information System (DAISY). These changes support customer relationship management and Business Systems Modernization (BSM). The information repository for customer relationship management requires expansion to store, update, and maintain customer profile information. The customer profile allows the Defense Reutilization & Marketing Service (DRMS) to provide disposal services that meet or exceed customer expectations at low cost. An interface with BSM is required to automate the exchange of information between the two systems.

Activity Croup Capital Investment Justification										A. Budget Submission Fiscal Year (FY) 2003 Budget Estimates		
3. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency Reutilization & Marketing Service Activity Group February 2002  C. Line Number & Item Description RPM 000 Minor Construction						D. Activity Identification						
					FY 20001 FY 2002				FY 2003			
Element of Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Minor Construction						5,924			6,000			6,000

#### Narrative Justification:

The minor construction investment for projects between \$100,000 and \$500,000 each, will construct new or modify existing facilities for mission and operational improvements. These projects consist of:

- (1) Renovation of administrative facilities.
- (2) Mission operational facilities such as scrap bins, truck scales, paving open storage and new warehouses.
- (3) Fencing, drainage and road improvements.

Additional minor construction requirements are for incidental improvements associated with facilities repair projects. These investments will result in cost effective facilities to support the mission.

#### **DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY**

# Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service Activity Group Fiscal Year (FY) 2003 Budget Estimates Capital Budget Execution February 2002 (Dollars in Millions)

#### PROJECTS ON THE FY 2002 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

FY	Approved Project	Reprogs	Approved Proj Cost	Current Proj Cost	Asset/ (Deficiency)	Explanation
2001	Equipment except ADPE & TELCOM:	0.7	1.2	0.4	0.7	
	Replacement <\$500K	0.7	1.2	0.4	0.7	
	Productivity <\$500K	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2001	Equipment - ADPE & TELCOM:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2001	Software Development:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2001	Minor Construction:	0.1	6.0	5.9	0.1	
	Total FY 2001	0.8	7.2	6.4	0.8	

#### **DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY**

## Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service Activity Group Fiscal Year (FY) 2003 Budget Estimates Capital Budget Execution February 2002 (Dollars in Millions)

#### PROJECTS ON THE FY 2002 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

FY	Approved Project	Reprogs	Approved Proj Cost	Current Proj Cost	Asset/ (Deficiency)	Explanation
2002	Equipment except ADPE & TELCOM:	0.0	3.2	3.2	0.0	
	Replacement <\$500K	0.0	3.2	3.2	0.0	
	Productivity <\$500K	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2002	Equipment - ADPE & TELCOM:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	Base Level Support	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2002	Software Development:	0.0	3.6	3.6	0.0	
		0.0	3.6	3.6	0.0	
2002	Minor Construction:	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	
	Total FY 2002	0.0	12.8	12.8	0.0	